

# The Deconstructionalists

## About far-reaching destructive philosophy from France

#France #Philosophy

Parents are quite familiar with the behaviour of destructive children at a playground or the beach. It takes hours to build stunningly beautiful landscapes full of castles, fortresses, houses and other creative objects in the sand with one's own kids. Oftentimes however, when finally observing and likewise enjoying the results of the sandy dedication, an angry and destructive child appears from out of nowhere to take pleasure in destroying the entire landscape within a few seconds.

One is reminded of such destructive children when reading the works of two prominent French philosophers named Michel Foucault and Jacques Derrida. Their writings, some of the most important ones published in the 1960s, lead to a new chapter in the discipline of philosophy called 'Postmodernism' or 'Deconstructionism'.

Michel Foucault, born in 1926 in Poitiers, France as the son of medical doctors, studied psychology and philosophy at the Parisienne elite university *École Normale Supérieure* (ENS) and later went to the Sorbonne University where he received his psychology license. Aged 25 and without much real world experience, he became university professor at ENS, attracting many philosophy students - among them Jacques Derrida. Foucault, an admirer of controversial French psychiatrist Jacques Lacan, joined France's Communist Party in 1950 shortly before finishing his dissertation, but leaving the group only three years later amidst conflicts with Stalinists and his open homosexuality:

I wasn't always smart, I was actually very stupid in school ... here was a boy who was very attractive who was even stupider than I was. And in order to ingratiate myself with this boy who was very beautiful, I began to do his homework for him - and that's how I became smart, I had to do all this work to just keep ahead of him a little bit, in order to help him. In a sense, all the rest of my life I've been trying to do intellectual things that would attract beautiful boys. (Michel Foucault, 1983)

Foucault's dissertation evolved into his book "*Madness and Civilization*", which was published in 1961. In it he claimed among other that the discipline of psychoanalysis would be responsible for the "imprisonment" of insane behaviour, not the other way around.



While philosophers mostly prefer to expand on high-level aspects detached from real life, Foucault chose to focus on very hands-on areas of civil societies not limited to thoughts about the government, the justice system, prisons and psychiatry. Most philosophers adopt a rather critical approach towards government, Foucault however encouraged a stronger government with powers and responsibilities to control and shape even the most individual aspects of its citizens:

This word [government] must be allowed the very broad meaning it had in the sixteenth century. 'Government' did not refer only to political structures or to the management of states; rather, it designated the way in which the conduct of individuals or of groups might be directed – the government of children, of souls, of communities, of the sick ... To govern, in this sense, is to control the possible field of action of others. (Michel Foucault in "[The Subject and Power](#)")

He went even further, claiming that individuality would be the sole result of the surrounding social environment and its embodied power structures:

It's my hypothesis that the individual is not a pre-given entity which is seized on by the exercise of power. The individual, with his identity and characteristics, is the product of a relation of power exercised over bodies, multiplicities, movements, desires, forces. (Michel Foucault)

Foucault referred to the state's methods of imposing behavioral rules on its citizens as "disciplinary normalization." In particular, in his 1977 lectures summarized under the title "Security, Territory, Population. History of Governmentality", Foucault devoted himself with some fascination to governmental measures surrounding a virus pandemic and smallpox vaccinations in eighteenth-century Europe:

The phenomena of sudden worsening, acceleration, and increase of the disease can be identified that do not fall within the general category of epidemic, but are such that its spread at a particular time and place carries the risk, through contagion obviously, of multiplying cases that multiply other cases in an unstoppable tendency or gradient. This phenomenon of sudden bolting, which regularly occurs and is also regularly nullified, can be called, roughly — not exactly in medical terminology, since the word was already used to designate something else — the crisis. The crisis is this phenomenon of sudden, circular bolting that can only be checked either by a higher, natural mechanism, or by an artificial [vaccination] mechanism.

Foucault, "[Security, Territory, Population](#)" (Lectures at the Collège de France 1977–1978), page 61

From considerations of governmental measures concerning pandemics and vaccinations, Foucault recognized a new form of control of individuals that compensates a full surveillance, for which Foucault used the term Panopticon:

The idea of a Panopticon basically involves putting someone in the center — an eye, a gaze, a principle of surveillance — who will be able to make its sovereignty function over all the individuals within this power machine. None of my subjects can escape and none of their actions is unknown to me. The central point of the panopticon still functions, as it were, as a perfect sovereign. On the other hand, what we now see is not the idea of a power that takes the form of an exhaustive surveillance of individuals so that they are all constantly under the eyes of the sovereign in everything they do, but the set of mechanisms that, for the government and those who govern, attach pertinence to quite specific

phenomena that are not exactly individual phenomena. The relation between the individual and the collective, between the totality of the social body and its elementary fragments, is made to function in a completely different way; it will function differently in what we call population. The government of populations is, I think, completely different from the exercise of sovereignty over the fine grain of individual behaviors. It seems to me that we have two completely different systems of power here.

Foucault, "Security, Territory, Population" (Lectures at the Collège de France 1977–1978), page 66

Foucault took various international assignments as well. He taught and lived in Warsaw, Poland, in Hamburg, Germany and in Tunis, Algeria. In 1970 he started to politically support prison inmates, which led to the publication of his book "[Discipline and Punish. The Birth of the Prison](#)". In 1975, he wrote three books about the history of sexuality, before passing away in 1984 of AIDS.

Foucault gained respect among many radical-left activists and liberal political groups post mortem, not limited to [Antifa groups in Germany](#) and [the LGBTQ community](#) there.

[Jacques Derrida](#) had a not unsimilar approach towards philosophy. Born 1930 in Algeria as the son of Jewish parents, he was not allowed to attend public school because of discriminatory policies towards Jews at the time in that Arab country. The childhood experiences and his growing up in a closed and secluded community had a vast impact on his philosophical work in the years to come, Derrida learned what it meant to be a Frenchman among Arabs in Algeria and a Jew among Catholics later in Paris, where his family moved to in 1949.

His enrollment at the elite university École Normale Supérieure (ENS) precluded a rocky episode, he had to cancel a first entry examination because of pharmacophilia - pill abuse. He was finally admitted to the elite ENS university in 1952, but fails the final examinations in ethnology, psychology and also in philosophy in a teaching examination in 1955. During that time, Derrida established a long-lasting friendship with above mentioned Michel Foucault.

Derrida finally passes his examinations in 1956 and - strangely - receives a scholarship to briefly study at Harvard University in the USA. He is called to teach at Sorbonne University in 1960, but changes back to ENS with the support of famous French philosopher Louis Althusser. Derrida was affiliated with the 1968 student protest movement, which is about the time when he writes two of his most important books titled "[Speech and Phenomena](#)" and "[Of Grammatology](#)".



In them he tried to justify a radical new view of the world. At the center of his findings is language and

its inherent functionality. When we think, we associate words with an image and vice versa when we observe an object with our eyes. According to Derrida, we do not define objects or words by their potential on how they can be transformed however, but instead associate historically evolved word-pairings with them that are opposed to each other: black - white, woman - man, good - evil, inside - outside.

Derrida was also convinced that words in written text are not inherently fixed in any way in their meaning, and that even single characters are entirely "open" in their interpretation. Hierarchies of words and their bracketed meanings must hence be broken down or deconstructed, a notion that was put at the fundament of almost all feministic and also various political movements like gender mainstreaming or the #MeToo campaigns.

A text is not a text unless it hides from the first comer, from the first glance, the law of its composition and the rules of its game. A text remains, moreover, forever imperceptible. Its laws and rules are not, however, harbored in the inaccessibility of a secret; it is simply that they can never be booked, in the present, into anything that could rigorously be called a perception. (Jacques Derrida)

Derrida's political engagements included the fight against Apartheid in South Africa and against the death penalty in the USA. He supported left-liberal political groups in France, was for illegal immigrants and their voter rights, and pro gay marriage. He died in 2004 of cancer.

Deconstruction according to Derrida does not only mean the dismantling of historically evolved opposites, but also the creation of something new, something that was previously unseen or hidden.

In its extreme form not unsimilar to nasty children at the beach, out to destroy beautiful landscapes build into the sand.



<https://www.sun24.news/en/the-deconstructionalists-about-far-reaching-destructive-philosophy-from-france.html>